

Physiology-Guided CPR



Lindsay N. Shepard, MD, MSCE^{a,1}, Anthony DeVivo, DO^{b,1},
Benjamin S. Abella, MD, MPhil^c, Ryan W. Morgan, MD, MTR^{a,*}

KEYWORDS

- Cardiopulmonary resuscitation • Cardiac arrest • Physiology • Blood pressure
- End-tidal carbon dioxide

KEY POINTS

- Current guideline-recommended cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) targets are provider-centric and importantly do not adapt in real-time based on patient physiologic response.
- Physiology-guided CPR represents a shift toward precision resuscitation, aiming to optimize outcomes by adapting CPR techniques in real-time based on individual patient physiology.
- Key physiologic markers, including arterial blood pressure and end-tidal carbon dioxide, are associated with survival outcomes; specific targets and practical implementation strategies remain key knowledge gaps.

INTRODUCTION

Cardiac arrest, defined as the abrupt and complete cessation of blood flow and meaningful myocardial function, strikes over 400,000 people annually in the United States.^{1–3} Survival to hospital discharge for out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (OHCA) is around 10% in both adults and children, with survival to hospital discharge for in-hospital cardiac arrest (IHCA) around 25% in adults and 50% in children.^{1–5} Prompt initiation of cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) remains the cornerstone of treatment for both OHCA and IHCA, with numerous studies demonstrating that prompt delivery of CPR is associated with a higher probability of survival.^{6–8} Furthermore, this relationship is exquisitely time-sensitive; survival falls by 10% to 15% for each minute CPR is delayed.⁹

^a Department of Anesthesia and Critical Care Medicine, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Perelman School of Medicine at the University of Pennsylvania, 3401 Civic Center Boulevard - Main 9NW, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA; ^b Department of Emergency Medicine and Institute for Critical Care Medicine, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, 1468 Madison Avenue, New York, NY 10029, USA; ^c Department of Emergency Medicine, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, 1468 Madison Avenue, New York, NY, USA

¹ Both the authors have contributed equally.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: morganr1@chop.edu

Abbreviations	
AMSA	Amplitude Spectrum Area
AUCp	area under the curve
CoPP	coronary artery perfusion pressure
CPR	cardiopulmonary resuscitation
DBP	diastolic blood pressure
ECG	electrocardiogram
ETCO ₂	end-tidal carbon dioxide
IHCA	in-hospital cardiac arrest
MAP	mean arterial blood pressure
NIRS	near-infrared spectroscopy
OHCA	out-of-hospital cardiac arrest
POCUS	point-of-care ultrasound
PPV	positive-predictive value
RAP	right atrial diastolic blood pressure
ROSC	return of spontaneous circulation
rSO ₂	regional oxygen saturation
SBP	systolic blood pressure
TEE	transesophageal echocardiography
TTE	transthoracic echocardiography
VF	ventricular fibrillation

CPR is a fundamental intervention in cardiac arrest resuscitation, yet the clinical impact of CPR is highly dependent on the quality of CPR delivered. Numerous laboratory and clinical studies have demonstrated that intra-arrest hemodynamics and survival outcomes vary considerably with such factors as chest compression rate,¹⁰ depth,¹¹ chest recoil between compressions¹² (i.e. to what extent rescuers “lean” on the sternum between compressions), and compression fraction¹³ (i.e. the percentage of time during which compressions are delivered). Widespread variability in CPR quality has been documented in clinical investigations,^{14–16} despite international resuscitation guidelines and extensive global CPR training infrastructure.

While the “real-world” implementation of resuscitation guidelines to ensure CPR quality represents a challenge, another weakness exists in the current approach: current CPR quality standards are based on performance metrics of the rescuer providing CPR rather than the response of the patient to those efforts. A core principle of critical care is the adjustment of therapy to physiologic endpoints that reflect patient response (e.g. titrating vasopressor dosing to mean arterial pressure, or tuning fraction of inspired oxygen to hemoglobin saturation), yet this is not standard practice in cardiac arrest resuscitation. For example, current guidelines recommend a compression rate between 100 and 120 per min.^{2,3} This recommendation applies to all adults and pediatric patients regardless of underlying physiology, height or weight, cause of arrest, or most importantly, physiologic response to compressions. This standardized approach has facilitated widespread CPR training and practice across laypeople, pre-hospital personnel, and hospital-based clinicians, but in settings in which physiologic monitoring is available, a more tailored and informed approach to CPR holds promise to save more lives. Indeed, in the words of critical care giant Max Harry Weil, rescuers are “flying blind” during CPR delivery without real-time assessment of physiologic response.¹⁷ This is particularly important for IHCA resuscitation, in that hospitalized patients who suffer arrest do so with widely different underlying pathophysiology and as such, the response to CPR and other resuscitative therapies may vary considerably.

Growing data suggest that several physiologic measures may be useful in the guidance of CPR. In this article, we discuss the physiologic basis, supporting evidence,

advantages, and disadvantages for several candidate physiologic measures that have been studied in the context of CPR delivery (Table 1). We then discuss physiology-directed CPR and the research and innovation necessary to bring it to the bedside.

DISCUSSION

Candidate Physiologic Markers During Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation

Invasive arterial blood pressure

Physiologic premise. Achieving return of spontaneous circulation (ROSC) is dependent on attaining adequate myocardial blood flow and thereby myocardial oxygen delivery, with coronary artery perfusion pressure (CoPP) being the hemodynamic determinant of myocardial blood flow. As coronary perfusion occurs during diastole, or the relaxation phase of chest compressions, CoPP can be calculated by the aortic diastolic blood pressure (DBP) minus the right atrial DBP (RAP) [$\text{CoPP} = \text{DBP} - \text{RAP}$]. Although achieving adequate CoPP during CPR is critical for achieving ROSC, its calculation requires both an invasive arterial blood pressure monitor to measure DBP and a central venous pressure monitor to estimate RAP. In clinical practice, either measuring CoPP or using DBP alone as a surrogate for CoPP are reasonable (Fig. 1).⁶ Though less well-studied, measurement of systolic blood pressure (SBP) and mean arterial blood pressure (MAP) during CPR may also have physiologic relevance, particularly since MAP is the driving pressure for cerebral perfusion.

Associations with cardiopulmonary resuscitation quality and outcomes. The association between higher CoPP and DBP and survival outcomes has long been established in animal models,^{18,19} with CoPP less than 15 to 20 mm Hg predictive of unsuccessful resuscitation.^{20,21} Vasopressor administration has been shown to increase SBP, DBP, and CoPP in animal studies and limited human literature,^{22–24} and deeper compressions are associated with higher SBP and, in at least 1 study, DBP.^{25,26}

The first clinical study associating CoPP with survival outcomes was published in 1990 by Paradis, and colleagues. In 100 adults with OHCA or IHCA in the Emergency Department, initial CoPP and maximal CoPP were significantly higher in patients with ROSC. They found that a $\text{CoPP} \geq 15$ mm Hg had a positive-predictive value (PPV) for ROSC of 57%, $\text{CoPP} \geq 25$ mm Hg had a PPV for ROSC of 79%, and no patients with a maximal CoPP less than 15 mm Hg achieved ROSC. Thus, higher CoPP was necessary, but not sufficient for achieving ROSC.²⁷ Although higher arterial blood pressures (SBP, MAP, and DBP) and greater difference in arterial and venous pressures are associated with ROSC,^{28,29} specific intra-arrest blood pressure targets have yet to be established in adults.²

In children, a multicenter prospective observational study of 164 patients with IHCA and invasive arterial blood pressure monitoring found that mean DBP ≥ 25 mm Hg in infants (<1 y) and ≥ 30 mm Hg in children (≥ 1 y) was associated with improved survival to hospital discharge and survival with a favorable neurologic outcome.³⁰ Cubic spline analysis was then used to evaluate the optimal DBP target for survival and was found to be 27 mm Hg in infants, and 34 mm Hg in children, similar to the *a priori* identified targets. Interestingly, exploratory SBP thresholds (≥ 60 mm Hg in infants and ≥ 80 mm Hg in children) were not significantly associated with survival or survival with favorable neurologic outcomes. The DBP targets were then validated in a larger prospective multicenter cohort of 413 children, and it was again found that DBP ≥ 25 mm Hg in infants and ≥ 30 mm Hg in children was associated with improved ROSC and survival to hospital discharge.³¹

Table 1**Candidate physiologic markers during cardiopulmonary resuscitation and their physiologic basis, advantages, disadvantages and identified targets**

Candidate Physiologic Marker	Physiologic Basis	Advantages	Disadvantages	Identified Targets
Invasive arterial blood pressure	Coronary artery perfusion pressure (CoPP) determines myocardial blood flow and oxygen delivery. Diastolic blood pressure (DBP) is a reasonable surrogate of CoPP.	Established association with return of spontaneous circulation and survival outcomes	Invasive, requires arterial line	CoPP >20 mm Hg DBP ≥25 mm Hg in infants (<1 y) and ≥30 mm Hg in children (1–18 y)
End tidal carbon dioxide (ETCO ₂)	Indirectly reflects cardiac output	Broadly applicable to all patients with invasive airway	Affected by changes in minute ventilation and drug administration (e.g. epinephrine, sodium bicarbonate)	ETCO ₂ ≥20 mm Hg
Cerebral oximetry	Marker of cerebral oxygen delivery	Noninvasive	Value reflects composite measure of vascular compartments; impacted by extracerebral factors; no established targets	rSO ₂ >50%? Trends likely more valuable than thresholds
Cardiac ultrasound/echocardiography	Identification of reversible causes of arrest Determination of underlying cardiac activity Optimization of CPR technique	Noninvasive Identification of area of maximal compression and possible left ventricular outflow tract obstruction	Requires specialized training for image acquisition and interpretation Longer CPR pauses	N/A
Pulse-oximeter plethysmography	Waveform characteristics indicative of perfusion	Noninvasive and ubiquitous (in and out of hospital)	No real-time interpretation and use	TBD
Electrocardiogram/ventricular fibrillation waveform	Waveform characteristics indicative of myocardial physiology and metabolism	Optimize likelihood of defibrillation success	Limited data supporting real-time clinical use	N/A




Fig. 1. Intra-arrest Physiologic Waveforms: Two representative bedside monitor recordings of physiologic waveform data during in-hospital CPR. In A, the patient initially has a perfusing rhythm and develops ventricular fibrillation (denoted by *asterisk*) with loss of pulsatility on the arterial line. Quantitative end-tidal CO₂ values decrease with ongoing VF. With commencement of CPR (denoted by *double asterisk*), the efficacy of chest compressions can be seen through increasing systolic and diastolic blood pressures. In B, CPR is ongoing for a patient with pulseless electrical activity. During an interruption in compressions (denoted by *asterisk*), the underlying normal sinus rhythm can be seen but minimal pulsatility and very low blood pressure on the arterial BP tracing prompts continued CPR. Note the low DBP during CPR in this patient.

Blood pressure-directed cardiopulmonary resuscitation. Multiple studies in swine models of IHCA have shown that blood pressure-directed CPR (i.e. compression depth titrated to SBP and vasopressors titrated to CoPP and/or DBP) improved survival outcomes.^{32–36} Notably, compared to the standard guideline-directed CPR the blood pressure-directed CPR subjects required shallower depth of chest compressions and more doses of vasopressors prior to defibrillation.^{33–36}

To date, there are no interventional clinical trials of blood pressure-directed CPR. A cluster-randomized trial of a bundle of physiology-focused point-of-care training and post-arrest debriefing did not improve outcomes from pediatric IHCA.³⁷ A propensity-score matched registry study of 23,429 adults with IHCA with an invasive airway or arterial catheter in place at the time of arrest found that clinician-reported physiologic monitoring of CPR quality (either continuous end-tidal carbon dioxide [ETCO₂] or DBP) was associated with improved rate of ROSC compared to no reported physiologic monitoring.³⁸ A similar pediatric study failed to find an association between reported DBP/ETCO₂ monitoring and ROSC.³⁹

Guideline recommendations. The most recent 2020 AHA adult life support guidelines recommend that it may be reasonable to use physiologic parameters to optimize CPR quality, but do not give recommendations for specific arterial blood pressure targets, citing inadequate clinical data.² The 2020 AHA pediatric life support guidelines similarly state that it may be reasonable to use DBP to monitor CPR quality.³

Limitations. The main limitation of blood pressure as a physiologic marker of CPR quality is that it requires an invasive arterial monitor. In the prehospital setting, arterial

lines are uncommon, but have been shown to be feasible.⁴⁰ More IHCA's occur in the intensive care unit than the general wards for both adults and children^{41,42}; arterial lines are present in just over 10% of adult IHCA's and nearly half of pediatric ICU IHCA's.^{37,38} Importantly, the optimal blood pressure targets remain a key knowledge gap for both adults and children, and there are no clinical interventional trials comparing specific blood pressure targets.

End-tidal carbon dioxide

Physiologic premise. ETCO₂ has an established role during cardiac arrest as a means of confirming proper advanced airway placement, but has further evolved into a valuable, real-time feedback tool in the management of resuscitation (see [Fig. 1](#)).^{43,44} While ETCO₂ is typically most reflective of ventilation during spontaneous circulation,⁴⁵ in the low-cardiac output state of CPR,⁴⁶ pulmonary blood flow is the major determinant of ETCO₂.^{45–47} Thus, ETCO₂ indirectly reflects cardiac output generated by CPR, and therefore is an important physiologic metric during resuscitation.⁶ Importantly, ETCO₂ is a more ubiquitous physiologic monitor than invasive arterial blood pressure as about one-third of adults and more than half of children with IHCA have ETCO₂ monitoring during arrest.^{1,37}

Associations with cardiopulmonary resuscitation quality and outcomes. In adults, significantly higher ETCO₂ values are observed in patients with ROSC and survival to hospital discharge^{48,49} with variability in the threshold evaluated, but ≥ 20 mm Hg being relatively consistently associated with improved outcomes.⁴⁸ Low ETCO₂ levels, particularly less than 10 mm Hg throughout CPR or late in resuscitation, are strongly associated with poor outcomes.^{44,48,50} The dynamic trend of ETCO₂ may also be an important metric, with a positive ETCO₂ trend being associated with improved rates of ROSC, survival, and favorable neurologic outcome.^{51,52} In a multicenter study of 583 adult OHCA and IHCA events, deeper chest compressions were associated with higher ETCO₂ values,⁵³ supporting a potential means by which clinicians can target ETCO₂ during CPR. In children, a prospective multicenter observational study of 234 patients with IHCA found that events with average ETCO₂ ≥ 20 mm Hg in the first 10 min of CPR was associated with higher rates of ROSC and survival to hospital discharge. Of note, there was no association between ETCO₂ less than 10 mm Hg and worse outcomes in children.⁵⁴

Beyond survival and CPR quality associations, an abrupt increase in ETCO₂ is often observed as ROSC occurs,^{55–58} which may be useful in identifying underlying ROSC during CPR. In animal models, low-ETCO₂ levels from cardiac arrest increase slightly with effective CPR, but increase to normal or even higher than normal levels with ROSC.⁵⁹ In a study of 518 adult patients with OHCA who received defibrillation, ETCO₂ rose in most patients immediately following defibrillation, and patients with sustained ROSC experienced larger increases in ETCO₂.⁶⁰ Additionally, the ETCO₂ capnogram waveform offers information about resuscitation quality. Oscillations in the capnogram indicate the degree of airway closure and can be used to calculate an airway opening index.^{61,62} Airway opening index shows promise as an indicator of the adequacy of ventilation and overall respiratory support during CPR and higher values are associated with higher rates of ROSC.⁶²

End tidal carbon dioxide-directed cardiopulmonary resuscitation. Despite considerable data demonstrating an association between higher ETCO₂ values and ROSC, there are limited data supporting ETCO₂-directed CPR. In porcine models of pediatric cardiac arrest, ETCO₂-directed CPR (chest compression rate and epinephrine dosing frequency altered based on ETCO₂) resulted in higher ETCO₂ values and hemodynamics.⁶³ In one

study, this technique also resulted in higher rates of ROSC.⁶⁴ There are no published clinical interventional studies of ETCO₂-directed CPR.

Guideline recommendations. The American Heart Association life support guidelines for adults and pediatrics both support that ETCO₂ may be reasonable to monitor to optimize CPR quality and that it may be an early sign of ROSC.^{2,3} The adult guidelines comment that targeting a value of at least 10 mm Hg and ideally ≥ 20 mm Hg may be useful, whereas the 2020 pediatric guidelines state that there are no established values to guide therapy.

Limitations. Although ETCO₂ may be more broadly applicable to patients with cardiac arrest than invasive arterial blood pressure monitoring, there are important potential confounders impacting its use as a physiologic target. In addition to changes in minute ventilation (e.g. high ventilation rate), certain drug administration is also known to affect the ETCO₂ values (e.g. decreased ETCO₂ with epinephrine,^{22,65,66} and increased ETCO₂ with sodium bicarbonate^{67,68}). Importantly, the reliability of ETCO₂ in patients without an advanced airway (i.e. with a laryngeal mask airway or bag-mask ventilation) is unknown.

Cerebral oximetry

Physiologic premise. The ultimate goal of CPR is survival with preserved neurofunctional status. While systemic measures of blood pressure and proxies for cardiac output are physiologically linked to cerebral perfusion, direct measurement of cerebral physiology during CPR is a highly desired goal in resuscitation science. The most well-studied means of intra-arrest neuromonitoring to date is near-infrared spectroscopy (NIRS), a non-invasive measure of cerebral regional oxygen saturation (rSO₂). Similar to a pulse oximeter, NIRS emits light and uses a detector to measure how much light is absorbed versus transmitted to analyze the oxygen saturation. Whereas a pulse oximeter requires pulsatile blood flow to isolate the peripheral arterial saturation, NIRS uses different infrared wavelengths, which allows for greater tissue penetration and measures tissue oxygenation (a combination of arterial, venous, and capillary hemoglobin saturation).⁶⁹ Notably, NIRS does not require pulsatile blood flow and provides a continuous and real-time rSO₂, with normal cerebral rSO₂ values of approximately 70% in both adults and children,^{70–72} and importantly, it can be applied quickly without interruptions to CPR.⁷³

Supporting data. Cerebral rSO₂ measurement via NIRS is feasible during adult OHCA and IHCA.^{73–75} Multiple studies, including meta-analyses, have shown an association between higher cerebral rSO₂ and ROSC.^{76–79} Cerebral rSO₂ at hospital arrival has also been shown to predict neurologic outcome.⁸⁰ The largest study of cerebral rSO₂ during cardiac arrest, a multicenter study including 183 patients with IHCA, found that patients with ROSC and survival with favorable neurologic outcome had higher cerebral rSO₂ values during CPR, and that percentage of time with cerebral rSO₂ greater than 50% throughout CPR was the best predictor of favorable neurologic outcome (each 5% increase in the percentage of time with cerebral rSO₂ >50% was associated with a 15% higher probability of favorable neurologic outcome).⁸¹ Interestingly, in an OHCA study of 100 patients with cerebral rSO₂ and ETCO₂ measurements, both rSO₂ and ETCO₂ measurements were higher in patients with ROSC, but rSO₂ and ETCO₂ poorly correlated with each other (with diagnostic accuracy of rSO₂ being more specific and ETCO₂ more sensitive).⁸²

In pediatric patients with OHCA, a small observational study observed an abrupt increase in rSO₂ in all 3 patients with ROSC and found that patients without ROSC had

lower minimum cerebral rSO₂ values.⁸³ In pediatric IHCA, one small single-center observational study found that higher cerebral rSO₂ was associated with higher rates of ROSC,⁸⁴ and a larger multi-center observational study found that higher cerebral rSO₂ was associated with ROSC, survival to hospital discharge, and survival with favorable neurologic outcome.⁸⁵

Limitations. As rSO₂ represents a composite value from arterial, venous, and capillary blood, there are no normative thresholds established in baseline physiologic states or in the setting of brain injury. Correlation between rSO₂ and invasively measured brain tissue oxygenation has been inconsistent.⁸⁶ Values and changes are non-specific and could represent pathophysiologic processes in the brain or non-cerebral tissues.⁸⁷ Although there is an association between higher rSO₂ and outcomes, specific targets or cutoffs have yet to be established. Studies evaluating the association between cerebral rSO₂ and CPR quality are needed.

Cardiac ultrasound/echocardiography

Physiologic premise. Both cardiac point-of-care ultrasound (POCUS) (or transthoracic echocardiography [TTE] and transesophageal echocardiography [TEE]) may be used during CPR for identification of reversible causes of arrest, determination of underlying cardiac activity, and optimization of CPR technique. More recently, point-of-care focused TEE has become increasingly used in critical care and emergency medicine applications, including the evaluation of circulatory shock, investigation of unexplained hypoxemia, and during cardiac arrest care. While TEE has so far largely been studied during IHCA or ED-based resuscitation care, it has been proposed for pre-hospital care during OHCA as well.⁸⁸ An advantage of TEE is that it can obtain acoustic windows that may otherwise be obscured by certain patient factors (e.g. body habitus, pulmonary disease, and gastric distension),⁸⁹ and importantly, TEE does not require access to the chest wall, thus images can be obtained during active CPR.

Supporting data. Echocardiography is useful as a diagnostic tool in identifying reversible causes of cardiac arrest (e.g. cardiac tamponade, thrombosis (cardiac or pulmonary), hypovolemia, and tension pneumothorax).^{90–94} In a feasibility study, TEE yielded a number of actionable findings, including recognition of fine ventricular fibrillation (VF) that otherwise appeared electrically as asystole, recognition of significant right ventricular dilation suggestive of pulmonary embolism, and in one case the finding of intra-cardiac thrombus.⁹²

In a multicenter prospective observational study, when used, TEE resulted in clinical management changes in approximately half of OHCA events and one-third of IHCA events.⁹⁴ Multiple algorithms have been proposed to incorporate echocardiography into advanced life support algorithms to identify reversible causes of arrest in adults^{90,95–97} and children.⁹⁸ However, it is important to note that cardiac POCUS/TTE is associated with longer CPR pause duration.^{99,100} Although feasibility studies have demonstrated that TEE can be quickly introduced and safely performed during cardiac arrest,^{92,101} TEE requires specialized training. The most recent adult and pediatric guidelines both support that when there are providers with appropriate training and experience with ultrasound/echocardiography, it is reasonable to supplement standard resuscitation practices, including identification of reversible causes of arrest, but that the potential downside of interrupting chest compressions and lack of established benefit should be considered.^{2,3}

Cardiac ultrasound can also be used to detect underlying cardiac activity. In patients with non-shockable rhythms, the presence of cardiac activity on ultrasound has been found to be associated with ROSC and survival outcomes.^{91,96,102,103}

However, it is notable that the absence of cardiac activity alone does not reliably predict death and thus should not be the only factor in determination of termination of resuscitation.^{103,104}

More recently, echocardiography has been used to optimize of CPR technique (i.e. identifying the area of maximal compression and whether the left ventricular outflow tract is obstructed by ongoing chest compressions).^{92,105–107} For example, 2 studies found that TEE could identify chest compression over the left ventricular outflow tract. The obstruction to systemic blood flow resulted in poor physiologic response to compressions that necessitated movement of hand position.^{92,106} One study of TTE found that ETCO₂ increased significantly after cardiac ultrasound-guided hand position modifications.¹⁰⁸ Laboratory work in a swine model of cardiac arrest confirmed that such hand position adjustment based on TEE improved hemodynamics and yielded a higher rate of initial survival.¹⁰⁹ Whether TEE could offer opportunities to adjust rescuer hand position and improve survival during clinical resuscitation care remains a key knowledge gap.

Limitations. Cardiac POCUS/TTE and TEE both require specialized training for both image acquisition and interpretation, limiting their general use. Importantly, there is no current evidence that intra-arrest echocardiography improves outcomes, while cardiac POCUS/TTE is associated with longer CPR pauses^{99,100} and TEE poses risk of bleeding and esophageal rupture. Notably, there is also a potential for misinterpretation of findings. Whether TEE can allow for continuous measurement of cardiac output to adjust CPR performance metrics, such as rate and depth of compressions, remains to be definitively established.

Pulse oximetry plethysmography

Physiologic premise. Pulse oximeters are ubiquitous, non-invasive monitors that can be easily applied and interpreted in both the pre-hospital and in-hospital settings (including outside of the intensive care unit), where other physiologic markers of CPR quality (i.e. invasive arterial blood pressure and ETCO₂) may be unavailable. Although the primary use of a pulse oximeter is to measure peripheral oxygen saturation, the waveform itself is indicative of perfusion.^{110,111} Characteristics of the pulse oximeter waveform, including amplitude and area under the curve (AUCp) may be useful as indicators of CPR quality and predictors of ROSC.

Supporting data. Animal studies have shown that the pulse oximeter reliably detects chest compression rate and CPR pauses,^{112,113} the amplitude and AUCp correlate with invasive markers of CPR quality (i.e. CoPP and ETCO₂),¹¹³ and pulse oximetry can be used to detect ROSC during active CPR.^{114,115} In one study, a machine learning-based algorithm was able to use the electrocardiogram (ECG) and photoplethysmography to estimate CoPP, which correlated with invasive measures of CoPP.¹¹⁶ In a prospective multicenter study of both OHCA and IHCA patients, the pulse oximeter plethysmography amplitude and AUCp during CPR were higher in patients who achieved ROSC than those who did not and had similar ability to predict ROSC compared to ETCO₂ early in the resuscitation, but not later in the resuscitation or throughout the entire resuscitation.¹¹⁷

Limitations. Although the ubiquitous applicability of the pulse oximeter presents an exciting opportunity for physiologic-directed CPR in all patients with cardiac arrest both in and out of the hospital, significant knowledge gaps remain including how to interpret and implement this technology in real-time to improve CPR quality and outcomes.

Electrocardiogram/ventricular fibrillation waveform

Physiologic premise. ECG waveform analysis has been foundational in managing ventricular arrhythmias during resuscitation since the introduction and standardization of external cardiac defibrillation in the 1960s. Beyond simple recognition of a “shockable” rhythm, quantitative analysis of VF waveform characteristics provides insight into myocardial physiology and metabolism, and thus, the likelihood of defibrillation success. The most well-established quantitative VF waveform metric is an energy intensity estimate known as Amplitude Spectrum Area (AMSA), which incorporates data regarding both the amplitude and frequency of the VF waveform. Utilizing machine learning models, AMSA can be generated and applied in real-time.

Supporting data. In an observational study of adult OHCA, AMSA was significantly higher (AMSA ≥ 15.5 mV-Hz had a positive predictive value of 84%) prior to successful defibrillation, as well as sustained ROSC and long-term survival. Conversely, AMSA ≤ 6.5 mV-Hz had a negative predictive value of 98% for defibrillation success.¹¹⁸ Similar findings have been replicated in other observational studies¹¹⁹ and more recent retrospective, multicenter data similarly showed lower AMSA values were independently associated with higher risk of death and poor neurologic outcome at 30 d and 1-y post-arrest.¹²⁰ A clinical trial of immediate defibrillation versus defibrillation timing based on waveform analytics failed to demonstrate differences in outcomes,¹²¹ while another trial incorporating AMSA into defibrillation strategy was stopped early due to the COVID-19 pandemic.¹²² Recent advances to reduce CPR-induced artifact enhance determination of changes in AMSA during active CPR,^{123,124} which could facilitate continuation of uninterrupted, high-quality CPR when defibrillation has a low-predicted probability for success per real-time AMSA feedback. Additionally, an observational study demonstrated that AMSA could facilitate lower energy defibrillation attempts with similar rates of successful defibrillation, thus mitigating myocardial injury from higher energy shocks.¹²⁵ Additionally, a retrospective study found that both ETCO₂ and AMSA were independently associated with shock success and ROSC, and that when combined, their discriminative power significantly increased.¹²⁶ This highlights that the future of physiology-directed resuscitation will likely be led by not 1 individual physiologic marker, but by collective data regarding the patient’s physiologic state.

General Framework for Physiologic Monitoring During Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation

While endorsement of a comprehensive physiology-directed CPR protocol requires more rigorous evidence, current literature and resuscitation guidelines support the incorporation of physiologic monitoring into cardiac arrest management. A general framework for the utility of physiologic monitoring during CPR is presented in **Fig. 2**. Broadly, clinicians can utilize physiologic data to: (1) verify or ensure that high-quality CPR is being delivered and rectify areas for improvement; (2) replace standard practices with more reliable measurements (e.g. arterial BP assessment rather than manual pulse checks); (3) diagnose and act upon etiologic contributors to physiologic observations (e.g. low-ETCO₂ despite high-quality CPR could represent an intervenable pulmonary embolus); (4) consider the prognostic implications of physiologic observations; and (5) perform physiology-directed CPR.

The Future of Physiology-directed Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation

Physiology-directed CPR represents a paradigm shift from a standardized, algorithmic, and rescuer-focused approach to cardiac arrest toward a precision-based




Fig. 2. Conceptual Model of Physiology-directed CPR: Conceptual model of how physiologic data can be utilized to optimize CPR quality, substitute for standard assessment practices, diagnose contributing factors, and prognosticate to inform intra-arrest decision-making to provide personalized care based on individual cardiac arrest physiology.

strategy that tailors interventions to an individual patient's physiologic response. There is strong physiologic premise for such strategies and, as detailed earlier, mounting data associating physiologic measurements during CPR with meaningful outcomes. However, despite these associations, how best to act on real-time physiologic data during CPR to improve outcomes remains unknown. The next frontier of resuscitation science must focus on translating these associations into actionable, evidence-based interventions through rigorous clinical trials. Potential approaches worthy of investigation include:

1. *Adjustment of CPR mechanics based on real-time DBP or ETCO₂ measurements:* Observational studies and preclinical laboratory trials have demonstrated that changing chest compression rate and depth can raise DBP and ETCO₂.^{25,53} As noted earlier and in Fig. 2, low DBP or ETCO₂ should likely trigger resuscitation teams to check that chest compressions of appropriate rate, depth, and release velocity are being delivered. But whether teams should deviate from guideline-recommended chest compression goals in response to physiologic measurements and how those mechanics should be titrated requires prospective study.
2. *Hemodynamic-directed epinephrine administration:* Epinephrine is universally recommended during cardiac arrest to augment systemic vascular resistance, thereby increasing DBP and CoPP to enhance the likelihood of ROSC. Epinephrine is administered at a universal dose and at set intervals of every 3 to 5 min, but the optimal dose or dosing frequency is not known and likely varies between individuals. In laboratory studies of hemodynamic-directed CPR wherein epinephrine was given when and only when CoPP or DBP was below an *a priori* threshold, the number and frequency of epinephrine doses varied, and hemodynamics and outcomes were superior compared to standard epinephrine dosing.^{32–36} Such an

approach holds promise in clinical practice, but numerous questions remain outstanding. Should a patient with a DBP consistently above goal receive no epinephrine at all? What frequency of epinephrine is reasonable for a persistently low DBP? Do statistically derived physiologic cut points from observational studies translate to appropriate clinical goals, or should we aim even higher?

3. *Further personalization of vasopressor strategies:* While titration of epinephrine to an evidence-based threshold represents a step toward precision medicine, recent laboratory and clinical studies have identified considerable inter-individual heterogeneity in the physiologic response to epinephrine.^{24,127,128} Specifically, some patients demonstrate a robust increase in DBP following epinephrine administration while others exhibit no response at all. In a pediatric IHCA study, greater magnitude of the DBP response to epinephrine was associated with superior outcomes. Thus, a more “personalized” approach could incorporate an individual patient’s response to epinephrine to drive subsequent vasopressor decisions rather than aiming for a threshold with repeated doses. Perhaps a patient with a poor physiologic response to epinephrine requires a higher dose. Conversely, avoidance of further epinephrine when the first dose fails to achieve the intended physiologic effect may mitigate potential deleterious side effects.^{129–131} Given differing mechanisms of action,¹³² choosing an alternative vasoactive medication, such as vasopressin, may hold promise in such a scenario.^{128,133}
4. *Integration of real-time physiology with other patient data:* Particularly in the in-hospital setting, clinical teams have a plethora of information regarding their patients’ pre-arrest state. Underlying illnesses, the cause of arrest, pre-arrest exposure to vasoactive medications and mechanical ventilation, and numerous other factors impact intra-arrest physiology and should be incorporated into how intra-arrest data are interpreted and what therapies are provided.

Technologic and Practical Considerations: The feasibility, training implications, and technologic considerations for such approaches to CPR are largely unknown and vary substantially between settings. A significant barrier to implementing physiology-directed CPR is the difficulty of interpreting and acting upon multiple streams of physiologic data in real time. As clinicians managing cardiac arrest already face high cognitive loads, expecting them to consider arterial blood pressure, ETCO₂, cerebral oximetry, and other physiologic signals during resuscitation efforts may not always be practical. Human and system factors, team dynamics, and training implications require rigorous investigation in and of themselves. Ideally, widespread implementation of physiology-directed CPR will be accompanied by the deployment of technology to continuously integrate physiologic signals, summarize measurements and trends, and provide decision support to clinicians.

SUMMARY

Invasive arterial blood pressure and ETCO₂, among other physiologic measures, are now well-established to be associated with clinically important cardiac arrest outcomes. In current state, these physiologic data can be used to monitor and perhaps improve upon resuscitation performance. Physiology-directed CPR represents a promising shift toward precision resuscitation by tailoring therapy to individual patient responses measured through real-time physiologic monitoring. However, significant barriers impede widespread clinical adoption. Rigorous prospective trials are required to determine optimal physiologic targets, demonstrate clinical efficacy, and develop accessible decision-support systems to fully realize the potential of physiology-directed CPR for improving cardiac arrest survival and neurologic outcomes.

CLINICS CARE POINTS

- Current CPR guideline-recommended targets are provider-centric and importantly do not adapt in real-time based on patient physiologic response
- Physiology-guided CPR represents a shift toward precision resuscitation, aiming to optimize survival and neurologic outcomes by adapting CPR techniques in real-time based on individual patient physiology.
- Key physiologic markers, including arterial blood pressure and ETCO₂, are associated with ROSC, survival, and neurologic outcomes; specific targets and practical implementation strategies remain key knowledge gaps.

DISCLOSURES

Drs L.N. Shepard and A. DeVivo report no conflicts of interest. Dr B.S. Abella reports research support from the National Institutes of Health (NIH), Avive and Becton Dickinson, equity in MDally, Neuroptics, consultancy to Stryker, National Basketball Association, and Becton Dickinson. Dr R.W. Morgan reports research support from the NIH.

REFERENCES

1. Martin SS, Aday AW, Allen NB, et al. Heart disease and stroke statistics: a report of US and global data from the American heart Association. *Circulation* 2025; 151(8):e41–660.
2. Panchal AR, Bartos JA, Cabanas JG, et al. Part 3: adult basic and advanced life support: 2020 American Heart Association Guidelines for cardiopulmonary resuscitation and emergency cardiovascular care. *Circulation* 2020;142-(16_suppl_2):S366–468.
3. Topjian AA, Raymond TT, Atkins D, et al. Part 4: pediatric basic and advanced life support: 2020 American Heart Association Guidelines for cardiopulmonary resuscitation and emergency cardiovascular care. *Circulation* 2020;142-(16_suppl_2):S469–523.
4. Okubo M, Komukai S, Andersen LW, et al. Duration of cardiopulmonary resuscitation and outcomes for adults with in-hospital cardiac arrest: retrospective cohort study. *BMJ* 2024;384:e076019.
5. Chan PS, Girotra S, Blewer A, et al. Race and sex differences in the association of bystander CPR for cardiac arrest. *Circulation* 2024;150(9):677–86.
6. Meaney PA, Bobrow BJ, Mancini ME, et al. Cardiopulmonary resuscitation quality: [corrected] improving cardiac resuscitation outcomes both inside and outside the hospital: a consensus statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 2013;128(4):417–35.
7. Hasselqvist-Ax I, Riva G, Herlitz J, et al. Early cardiopulmonary resuscitation in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *N Engl J Med* 2015;372(24):2307–15.
8. Sasson C, Rogers MA, Dahl J, et al. Predictors of survival from out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes* 2010;3(1):63–81.
9. Gupta K, Nguyen DD, Kennedy KF, et al. Time to bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation by patient sex for out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation* 2024;196:110126.

10. Wallace SK, Abella BS, Becker LB. Quantifying the effect of cardiopulmonary resuscitation quality on cardiac arrest outcome: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes* 2013;6(2):148–56.
11. Nichol G, Daya MR, Morrison LJ, et al. Compression depth measured by accelerometer vs. outcome in patients with out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation* 2021;167:95–104.
12. Fried DA, Leary M, Smith DA, et al. The prevalence of chest compression leaning during in-hospital cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Resuscitation* 2011;82(8):1019–24.
13. Stiell IG, Brown SP, Christenson J, et al. What is the role of chest compression depth during out-of-hospital cardiac arrest resuscitation? *Crit Care Med* 2012;40(4):1192–8.
14. Abella BS, Alvarado JP, Myklebust H, et al. Quality of cardiopulmonary resuscitation during in-hospital cardiac arrest. *JAMA* 2005;293(3):305–10.
15. Wik L, Kramer-Johansen J, Myklebust H, et al. Quality of cardiopulmonary resuscitation during out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *JAMA* 2005;293(3):299–304.
16. Hostler D, Everson-Stewart S, Rea TD, et al. Effect of real-time feedback during cardiopulmonary resuscitation outside hospital: prospective, cluster-randomised trial. *BMJ* 2011;342:d512.
17. Weil MH, Tang W. Cardiopulmonary resuscitation: a promise as yet largely unfulfilled. *Dis Mon* 1997;43(7):429–501.
18. Kern KB, Ewy GA, Voorhees WD, et al. Myocardial perfusion pressure: a predictor of 24-hour survival during prolonged cardiac arrest in dogs. *Resuscitation* 1988;16(4):241–50.
19. Sanders AB, Ewy GA, Taft TV. Prognostic and therapeutic importance of the aortic diastolic pressure in resuscitation from cardiac arrest. *Crit Care Med* 1984;12(10):871–3.
20. Fries M, Tang W, Chang YT, et al. Microvascular blood flow during cardiopulmonary resuscitation is predictive of outcome. *Resuscitation* 2006;71(2):248–53.
21. Halperin HR, Lee K, Zviman M, et al. Outcomes from low versus high-flow cardiopulmonary resuscitation in a swine model of cardiac arrest. *Am J Emerg Med* 2010;28(2):195–202.
22. Lindberg L, Liao Q, Steen S. The effects of epinephrine/norepinephrine on end-tidal carbon dioxide concentration, coronary perfusion pressure and pulmonary arterial blood flow during cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Resuscitation* 2000;43(2):129–40.
23. Michael JR, Guerci AD, Koehler RC, et al. Mechanisms by which epinephrine augments cerebral and myocardial perfusion during cardiopulmonary resuscitation in dogs. *Circulation* 1984;69(4):822–35.
24. Morgan RW, Berg RA, Reeder RW, et al. The physiologic response to epinephrine and pediatric cardiopulmonary resuscitation outcomes. *Crit Care* 2023;27(1):105.
25. Sutton RM, French B, Nishisaki A, et al. American Heart Association cardiopulmonary resuscitation quality targets are associated with improved arterial blood pressure during pediatric cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation* 2013;84(2):168–72.
26. Ryu SJ, Lee SJ, Park CH, et al. Arterial pressure, end-tidal carbon dioxide, and central venous oxygen saturation in reflecting compression depth. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 2016;60(7):1012–23.
27. Paradis NA, Martin GB, Rivers EP, et al. Coronary perfusion pressure and the return of spontaneous circulation in human cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *JAMA* 1990;263(8):1106–13.

28. Koyama Y, Matsuyama T, Inoue Y. Association between haemodynamics during cardiopulmonary resuscitation and patient outcomes. *Resuscitation* 2022;170: 295–302.
29. Aziz S, Barratt J, Starr Z, et al. The association between intra-arrest arterial blood pressure and return of spontaneous circulation in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation* 2024;205:110426.
30. Berg RA, Sutton RM, Reeder RW, et al. Association between diastolic blood pressure during pediatric In-Hospital cardiopulmonary resuscitation and survival. *Circulation* 2018;137(17):1784–95.
31. Berg RA, Morgan RW, Reeder RW, et al. Diastolic blood pressure threshold during pediatric cardiopulmonary resuscitation and survival outcomes: a multi-center validation study. *Crit Care Med* 2023;51(1):91–102.
32. Friess SH, Sutton RM, Bhalala U, et al. Hemodynamic directed cardiopulmonary resuscitation improves short-term survival from ventricular fibrillation cardiac arrest. *Crit Care Med* 2013;41(12):2698–704.
33. Sutton RM, Friess SH, Naim MY, et al. Patient-centric blood pressure-targeted cardiopulmonary resuscitation improves survival from cardiac arrest. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2014;190(11):1255–62.
34. Naim MY, Sutton RM, Friess SH, et al. Blood Pressure- and coronary perfusion pressure-targeted cardiopulmonary resuscitation improves 24-Hour survival from ventricular fibrillation cardiac arrest. *Crit Care Med* 2016;44(11):e1111–7.
35. Morgan RW, Kilbaugh TJ, Shoap W, et al. A hemodynamic-directed approach to pediatric cardiopulmonary resuscitation (HD-CPR) improves survival. *Resuscitation* 2017;111:41–7.
36. Lautz AJ, Morgan RW, Karlsson M, et al. Hemodynamic-directed cardiopulmonary resuscitation improves neurologic outcomes and mitochondrial function in the heart and brain. *Crit Care Med* 2019;47(3):e241–9.
37. Sutton RM, Wolfe HA, Reeder RW, et al. Effect of physiologic point-of-care cardiopulmonary resuscitation training on survival with favorable neurologic outcome in cardiac arrest in pediatric ICUs: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 2022;327(10):934–45.
38. Sutton RM, French B, Meaney PA, et al. Physiologic monitoring of CPR quality during adult cardiac arrest: a propensity-matched cohort study. *Resuscitation* 2016;106:76–82.
39. Kienzle MF, Morgan RW, Alvey JS, et al. Clinician-reported physiologic monitoring of cardiopulmonary resuscitation quality during pediatric in-hospital cardiac arrest: a propensity-weighted cohort study. *Resuscitation* 2023;188: 109807.
40. Wildner G, Pauker N, Archan S, et al. Arterial line in prehospital emergency settings - a feasibility study in four physician-staffed emergency medical systems. *Resuscitation* 2011;82(9):1198–201.
41. Perman SM, Stanton E, Soar J, et al. Location of In-Hospital cardiac arrest in the United States-variability in event rate and outcomes. *J Am Heart Assoc* 2016;- (10):5. <https://doi.org/10.1161/JAHA.116.003638>.
42. Berg RA, Sutton RM, Holubkov R, et al. Ratio of PICU versus ward cardiopulmonary resuscitation events is increasing. *Crit Care Med* 2013;41(10):2292–7.
43. Ornato JP, Shipley JB, Racht EM, et al. Multicenter study of a portable, hand-size, colorimetric end-tidal carbon dioxide detection device. *Ann Emerg Med* 1992;21(5):518–23.
44. Levine RL, Wayne MA, Miller CC. End-tidal carbon dioxide and outcome of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *N Engl J Med* 1997;337(5):301–6.

45. Ornato JP, Garnett AR, Glauser FL. Relationship between cardiac output and the end-tidal carbon dioxide tension. *Ann Emerg Med* 1990;19(10):1104–6.
46. Weil MH, Bisera J, Trevino RP, et al. Cardiac output and end-tidal carbon dioxide. *Crit Care Med* 1985;13(11):907–9.
47. Trevino RP, Bisera J, Weil MH, et al. End-tidal CO₂ as a guide to successful cardiopulmonary resuscitation: a preliminary report. *Crit Care Med* 1985;13(11):910–1.
48. Paiva EF, Paxton JH, O'Neil BJ. The use of end-tidal carbon dioxide (ETCO₂) measurement to guide management of cardiac arrest: a systematic review. *Resuscitation* 2018;123:1–7.
49. Touma O, Davies M. The prognostic value of end tidal carbon dioxide during cardiac arrest: a systematic review. *Resuscitation* 2013;84(11):1470–9.
50. Sanders AB, Kern KB, Otto CW, et al. End-tidal carbon dioxide monitoring during cardiopulmonary resuscitation. A prognostic indicator for survival. *JAMA* 1989;262(10):1347–51.
51. Baldi E, Caputo ML, Klersy C, et al. End-tidal carbon dioxide (ETCO₂) at intubation and its increase after 10 minutes resuscitation predicts survival with good neurological outcome in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest patients. *Resuscitation* 2022;181:197–207.
52. Nassal MMJ, Elola A, Aramendi E, et al. Temporal trends in end-tidal capnography and outcomes in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: a secondary analysis of a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Netw Open* 2024;7(7):e2419274.
53. Sheak KR, Wiebe DJ, Leary M, et al. Quantitative relationship between end-tidal carbon dioxide and CPR quality during both in-hospital and out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation* 2015;89:149–54.
54. Morgan RW, Reeder RW, Bender D, et al. Associations between end-tidal carbon dioxide during pediatric cardiopulmonary resuscitation, cardiopulmonary resuscitation quality, and survival. *Circulation* 2024;149(5):367–78.
55. Garnett AR, Ornato JP, Gonzalez ER, et al. End-tidal carbon dioxide monitoring during cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *JAMA* 1987;257(4):512–5.
56. Falk JL, Rackow EC, Weil MH. End-tidal carbon dioxide concentration during cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *N Engl J Med* 1988;318(10):607–11.
57. Pokorna M, Necas E, Kratochvil J, et al. A sudden increase in partial pressure end-tidal carbon dioxide (P(ET)CO₂) at the moment of return of spontaneous circulation. *J Emerg Med* 2010;38(5):614–21.
58. Lui CT, Poon KM, Tsui KL. Abrupt rise of end tidal carbon dioxide level was a specific but non-sensitive marker of return of spontaneous circulation in patient with out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation* 2016;104:53–8.
59. Bhende MS, Karasic DG, Karasic RB. End-tidal carbon dioxide changes during cardiopulmonary resuscitation after experimental asphyxial cardiac arrest. *Am J Emerg Med* 1996;14(4):349–50.
60. Grabman B, Bulger NE, Harrington BM, et al. Increase in end-tidal carbon dioxide after defibrillation predicts sustained return of spontaneous circulation during out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation* 2022;181:48–54.
61. Grieco DL, L JB, Drouet A, et al. Intrathoracic airway closure impacts CO₂ signal and delivered ventilation during cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2019;199(6):728–37.
62. Bhandari S, Coult J, Counts CR, et al. Investigating the airway opening index during cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Resuscitation* 2022;178:96–101.

63. Hamrick JL, Hamrick JT, Lee JK, et al. Efficacy of chest compressions directed by end-tidal CO₂ feedback in a pediatric resuscitation model of basic life support. *J Am Heart Assoc* 2014;3(2):e000450.
64. Hamrick JT, Hamrick JL, Bhalala U, et al. End-tidal CO₂-Guided chest compression delivery improves survival in a neonatal asphyxial cardiac arrest model. *Pediatr Crit Care Med* 2017;18(11):e575–84.
65. Callaham M, Barton C, Matthay M. Effect of epinephrine on the ability of end-tidal carbon dioxide readings to predict initial resuscitation from cardiac arrest. *Crit Care Med* 1992;20(3):337–43.
66. Tang W, Weil MH, Gazmuri RJ, et al. Pulmonary ventilation/perfusion defects induced by epinephrine during cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Circulation* 1991;84(5):2101–7.
67. Sun S, Weil MH, Tang W, et al. Effects of buffer agents on postresuscitation myocardial dysfunction. *Crit Care Med* 1996;24(12):2035–41.
68. Roh YI, Kim HI, Kim SJ, et al. End-tidal carbon dioxide after sodium bicarbonate infusion during mechanical ventilation or ongoing cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Am J Emerg Med* 2024;76:211–6.
69. Owen-Reece H, Smith M, Elwell CE, et al. Near infrared spectroscopy. *Br J Anaesth* 1999;82(3):418–26.
70. Eyeington CT, Ancona P, Osawa EA, et al. Modern technology-derived normative values for cerebral tissue oxygen saturation in adults. *Anaesth Intensive Care* 2019;47(1):69–75.
71. Bernal NP, Hoffman GM, Ghanayem NS, et al. Cerebral and somatic near-infrared spectroscopy in normal newborns. *J Pediatr Surg* 2010;45(6):1306–10.
72. Kurth CD, Steven JL, Montenegro LM, et al. Cerebral oxygen saturation before congenital heart surgery. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2001;72(1):187–92.
73. Parnia S, Nasir A, Shah C, et al. A feasibility study evaluating the role of cerebral oximetry in predicting return of spontaneous circulation in cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation* 2012;83(8):982–5.
74. Newman DH, Callaway CW, Greenwald IB, et al. Cerebral oximetry in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: standard CPR rarely provides detectable hemoglobin-oxygen saturation to the frontal cortex. *Resuscitation* 2004;63(2):189–94.
75. Parnia S, Nasir A, Ahn A, et al. A feasibility study of cerebral oximetry during in-hospital mechanical and manual cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Crit Care Med* 2014;42(4):930–3.
76. Kawaguchi T, Tsukuda J, Onoe R, et al. Association between regional cerebral oxygen saturation and outcome of patients with out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: an observational study. *Resusc Plus* 2023;13:100343.
77. Tsukuda J, Fujitani S, Morisawa K, et al. Near-infrared spectroscopy monitoring during out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: can the initial cerebral tissue oxygenation index predict ROSC? *Emerg Med J* 2019;36(1):33–8.
78. Liu Y, Jing K, Liu H, et al. Association between cerebral oximetry and return of spontaneous circulation following cardiac arrest: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One* 2020;15(8):e0234979.
79. Sanfilippo F, Murabito P, Messina A, et al. Cerebral regional oxygen saturation during cardiopulmonary resuscitation and return of spontaneous circulation: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Resuscitation* 2021;159:19–27.
80. Ito N, Nishiyama K, Callaway CW, et al. Noninvasive regional cerebral oxygen saturation for neurological prognostication of patients with out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: a prospective multicenter observational study. *Resuscitation* 2014;85(6):778–84.

81. Parnia S, Yang J, Nguyen R, et al. Cerebral oximetry during cardiac arrest: a multicenter study of neurologic outcomes and survival. *Crit Care Med* 2016; 44(9):1663–74.
82. Singer AJ, Nguyen RT, Ravishankar ST, et al. Cerebral oximetry versus end tidal CO(2) in predicting ROSC after cardiac arrest. *Am J Emerg Med* 2018;36(3): 403–7.
83. Caglar A, Er A, Ulusoy E, et al. Cerebral oxygen saturation monitoring in pediatric cardiopulmonary resuscitation patients in the emergency settings: a small descriptive study. *Turk J Pediatr* 2017;59(6):642–7.
84. Francoeur C, Landis WP, Winters M, et al. Near-infrared spectroscopy during cardiopulmonary resuscitation for pediatric cardiac arrest: a prospective, observational study. Article. *Resuscitation* 2022;174:35–41.
85. Raymond TT, Esangbedo ID, Rajapreyar P, et al. Cerebral oximetry during pediatric In-Hospital cardiac arrest: a multicenter study of survival and neurologic outcome. *Crit Care Med* 2024;52(5):775–85.
86. de Courson H, Proust-Lima C, Tuaz E, et al. Relationship between brain tissue oxygen and near-infrared spectroscopy in patients with nontraumatic subarachnoid hemorrhage. *Neurocritical Care* 2022;37(3):620–8.
87. Lovett ME, MacDonald JM, Mir M, et al. Noninvasive neuromonitoring modalities in children part I: pupillometry, near-infrared spectroscopy, and transcranial doppler ultrasonography. *Neurocritical Care* 2024;40(1):130–46.
88. Katzenschlager S, Hamp T, Dietrich M, et al. Assess, improve, detect, guide: a narrative review and proposal for a standardized protocol for prehospital transesophageal echocardiography during out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Ultrasound J* 2025;17(1):18.
89. Frazin L, Talano JV, Stephanides L, et al. Esophageal echocardiography. *Circulation* 1976;54(1):102–8.
90. Breittkreutz R, Price S, Steiger HV, et al. Focused echocardiographic evaluation in life support and peri-resuscitation of emergency patients: a prospective trial. *Resuscitation* 2010;81(11):1527–33.
91. Gaspari R, Weekes A, Adhikari S, et al. Emergency department point-of-care ultrasound in out-of-hospital and in-ED cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation* 2016; 109:33–9.
92. Teran F, Dean AJ, Centeno C, et al. Evaluation of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest using transesophageal echocardiography in the emergency department. *Resuscitation* 2019;137:140–7.
93. Reynolds JC, Nicholson T, O'Neil B, et al. Diagnostic test accuracy of point-of-care ultrasound during cardiopulmonary resuscitation to indicate the etiology of cardiac arrest: a systematic review. *Resuscitation* 2022;172:54–63.
94. Teran F, Owyang CG, Wray TC, et al. Development and implementation of a multicenter registry for resuscitation-focused transesophageal echocardiography. *Ann Emerg Med* 2025;85(2):147–62.
95. Hernandez C, Shuler K, Hannan H, et al. Cardiac arrest ultra-sound exam—a better approach to managing patients in primary non-arrhythmogenic cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation* 2008;76(2):198–206.
96. Lien WC, Hsu SH, Chong KM, et al. US-CAB protocol for ultrasonographic evaluation during cardiopulmonary resuscitation: validation and potential impact. *Resuscitation* 2018;127:125–31.
97. Avila-Reyes D, Acevedo-Cardona AO, Gomez-Gonzalez JF, et al. Point-of-care ultrasound in cardiorespiratory arrest (POCUS-CA): narrative review article. *Ultrasound J* 2021;13(1):46.

98. Leviter JI, Feick M, Riera A, et al. A protocol for using point-of-care ultrasound as an adjunct in pediatric cardiac arrest: pediatric ultrasound for life-supporting efforts. *Pediatr Emerg Care* 2024;40(11):835–8.
99. Clattenburg EJ, Wroe P, Brown S, et al. Point-of-care ultrasound use in patients with cardiac arrest is associated prolonged cardiopulmonary resuscitation pauses: a prospective cohort study. *Resuscitation* 2018;122:65–8.
100. Huis In 't Veld MA, Allison MG, Bostick DS, et al. Ultrasound use during cardiopulmonary resuscitation is associated with delays in chest compressions. *Resuscitation* 2017;119:95–8.
101. Arntfield R, Pace J, Hewak M, et al. Focused transesophageal echocardiography by emergency physicians is feasible and clinically influential: observational results from a novel ultrasound program. *J Emerg Med* 2016;50(2):286–94.
102. Wu C, Zheng Z, Jiang L, et al. The predictive value of bedside ultrasound to restore spontaneous circulation in patients with pulseless electrical activity: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One* 2018;13(1):e0191636.
103. Lalande E, Burwash-Brennan T, Burns K, et al. Is point-of-care ultrasound a reliable predictor of outcome during atraumatic, non-shockable cardiac arrest? A systematic review and meta-analysis from the SHoC investigators. *Resuscitation* 2019;139:159–66.
104. Javaudin F, Papin M, Le Bastard Q, et al. Early point-of-care echocardiography as a predictive factor for absence of return of spontaneous circulatory in out-of-hospital cardiac arrests: a multicentre observational study. *Resuscitation* 2024; 203:110373.
105. Chu SE, Huang CY, Cheng CY, et al. Cardiopulmonary resuscitation without aortic valve compression increases the chances of return of spontaneous circulation in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: a prospective observational cohort study. *Crit Care Med* 2024. <https://doi.org/10.1097/CCM.0000000000006336>.
106. Hwang SO, Zhao PG, Choi HJ, et al. Compression of the left ventricular outflow tract during cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Acad Emerg Med* 2009;16(10): 928–33.
107. Catena E, Ottolina D, Fossali T, et al. Association between left ventricular outflow tract opening and successful resuscitation after cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation* 2019;138:8–14.
108. Zanatta M, Lorenzi C, Scorpiniti M, et al. Ultrasound-guided chest compressions in out-of-hospital cardiac arrests. *J Emerg Med* 2020;59(6):e225–33.
109. Anderson KL, Fiala KC, Castaneda MG, et al. Left ventricular compressions improve return of spontaneous circulation and hemodynamics in a swine model of traumatic cardiopulmonary arrest. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg* 2018;85(2): 303–10.
110. Reisner A, Shaltis PA, McCombie D, et al. Utility of the photoplethysmogram in circulatory monitoring. *Anesthesiology* 2008;108(5):950–8.
111. Shelley KH. Photoplethysmography: beyond the calculation of arterial oxygen saturation and heart rate. *Anesth Analg* 2007;105(6 Suppl):S31–6.
112. Fu Y, Yin L, Seery S, et al. Pulse rate as an alternative, real-time feedback indicator for chest compression rate: a porcine model of cardiac arrest. *J Clin Monit Comput* 2021;35(5):1159–67.
113. Xu J, Li C, Zheng L, et al. Pulse oximetry: a non-invasive, novel marker for the quality of chest compressions in porcine models of cardiac arrest. *PLoS One* 2015;10(10):e0139707.

114. Wijshoff RW, van der Sar T, Peeters WH, et al. Detection of a spontaneous pulse in photoplethysmograms during automated cardiopulmonary resuscitation in a porcine model. *Resuscitation* 2013;84(11):1625–32.
115. Li C, Xu J, Han F, et al. Identification of return of spontaneous circulation during cardiopulmonary resuscitation via pulse oximetry in a porcine animal cardiac arrest model. *J Clin Monit Comput* 2019;33(5):843–51.
116. Jiang L, Chen S, Pan X, et al. Estimation of invasive coronary perfusion pressure using electrocardiogram and photoplethysmography in a porcine model of cardiac arrest. *Comput Methods Progr Biomed* 2024;254:108284.
117. Xu J, Li C, Tang H, et al. Pulse oximetry waveform: a non-invasive physiological predictor for the return of spontaneous circulation in cardiac arrest patients — A multicenter, prospective observational study. *Resuscitation* 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resuscitation.2021.09.032>.
118. Ristagno G, Mauri T, Cesana G, et al. Amplitude spectrum area to guide defibrillation: a validation on 1617 patients with ventricular fibrillation. *Circulation* 2015; 131(5):478–87.
119. Nakagawa Y, Amino M, Inokuchi S, et al. Novel CPR system that predicts return of spontaneous circulation from amplitude spectral area before electric shock in ventricular fibrillation. *Resuscitation* 2017;113:8–12.
120. Gentile FR, Wik L, Isasi I, et al. Amplitude spectral area of ventricular fibrillation can discriminate survival of patients with out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Front Cardiovasc Med* 2024;11:1336291.
121. Freese JP, Jorgenson DB, Liu PY, et al. Waveform analysis-guided treatment versus a standard shock-first protocol for the treatment of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest presenting in ventricular fibrillation: results of an international randomized, controlled trial. *Circulation* 2013;128(9):995–1002.
122. Ruggeri L, Fumagalli F, Bernasconi F, et al. Amplitude spectrum area of ventricular fibrillation to guide defibrillation: a small open-label, pseudo-randomized controlled multicenter trial. *EBioMedicine* 2023;90:104544.
123. Coult J, Blackwood J, Sherman L, et al. Ventricular fibrillation waveform analysis during chest compressions to predict survival from cardiac arrest. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2019;12(1):e006924.
124. Zuo F, Ding Y, Dai C, et al. Estimating the amplitude spectrum area of ventricular fibrillation during cardiopulmonary resuscitation using only ECG waveform. *Ann Transl Med* 2021;9(8):619.
125. Gentile FR, Wik L, Isasi I, et al. Amplitude spectral area of ventricular fibrillation and defibrillation success at low energy in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Intern Emerg Med* 2023;18(8):2397–405.
126. Frigerio L, Baldi E, Aramendi E, et al. End-tidal carbon dioxide (ETCO₂) and ventricular fibrillation amplitude spectral area (AMSA) for shock outcome prediction in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. Are they two sides of the same coin? *Resuscitation* 2021;160:142–9.
127. O'Brien CE, Santos PT, Reyes M, et al. Association of diastolic blood pressure with survival during paediatric cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Resuscitation* 2019;143:50–6.
128. Slovis JC, Morgan RW, Landis WP, et al. The physiologic response to rescue therapy with vasopressin versus epinephrine during experimental pediatric cardiac arrest. *Resusc Plus* 2020;4:100050.
129. Chase PB, Kern KB, Sanders AB, et al. Effects of graded doses of epinephrine on both noninvasive and invasive measures of myocardial perfusion and blood flow during cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Crit Care Med* 1993;21(3):413–9.

130. Ditchey RV, Goto Y, Lindenfeld J. Myocardial oxygen requirements during experimental cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Cardiovasc Res* 1992;26(8):791–7.
131. Ristagno G, Sun S, Tang W, et al. Effects of epinephrine and vasopressin on cerebral microcirculatory flows during and after cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Crit Care Med* 2007;35(9):2145–9.
132. Russell JA. Bench-to-bedside review: vasopressin in the management of septic shock. *Crit Care* 2011;15(4):226.
133. Lindner KH, Pregel AW, Pfenninger EG, et al. Vasopressin improves vital organ blood flow during closed-chest cardiopulmonary resuscitation in pigs. *Circulation* 1995;91(1):215–21.